

Ground Survey Record

Site:

Date:

Sheet 5 of
Weather:

Proposed Path Type:

Section: B-D1 (101m)

Path Rating:

Appendix 1

Distance	Current Condition	Recommended Action	w/m	Priority	Finished Condition	Notes
101	Spur 2.6 + 0.5	Spur 1-2				Confirm finish. Cost tables suggest compacted hardcore finish while remainder of 'Ground Survey Record' (sheet 6) recommends a tar finish, which would result in a difference in surface appearance from one section to the next that is best avoided.
597.3	1-2					
595.7	55 + 0.8 + 0.4					
574	70 + 1.2 + 0.45					
55	1.5 + 1.40					
549.9	1.53					
546	Wall					
544.7	Wall					
542.2	Rock Spring					
539.9	T?					
530.9	Line 07366					
526.9	Line 07365					
523	Line 07364					
511	070 + 1.6					
505.5	4.5					
497.5	1.75					
495.3	2.9					
0	Main path C-D					

Confirm if section of large edging stones to path is to remain undisturbed in the proposed widening of the path surface.

Cobbles should be recorded (eg. numbered and photographed) prior to removal for installation of drain/pipe - to ensure they are reset in the same position.

Ground Survey Record

Site:

Date:

Sheet 6 of
Weather:

Proposed Path Type:

Section: 1 → A

Path Rating:

Appendix 1

Distance	Current Condition	Recommended Action	u/m	Priority	Finished Condition	Notes
370.4	865.3 drive Bollingerich ↑ R.H.P.	— tar —				
366.9	862.3 wall ← 1.75 m → wall	wall ← 1.75 → wall				Ensure walls at entrance are well protected from damage when using excavator or other machinery as noted on the cost tables.
	751.6 wall	← 5.5 m →				
241.1	↑ 2.5 m	← 5.5 m →				Path resurfacing works should address known areas of pooling of rainwater - see photo 1-A_2.
	698.3 ← 2 m →	← 5.5 m →				
203.2	Ash 728 039 dsh 04 bds 5.5 sps	← 5.5 m →				
193.2	1.6 m + 0.7 m	← 5.5 m →				
	618.9	3 m				
	602.4	← 2.6 →				
0	597.3 2.6 + 0.5 m	← 2.6 →				Ensure any available existing/established drains or weep holes are retained and running clear - see photo 1-A_1

Ensure walls at entrance are well protected from damage when using excavator or other machinery as noted on the cost tables.

Path resurfacing works should address known areas of pooling of rainwater - see photo 1-A_2.

Confirm finish. Cost tables suggest compacted hardcore finish while sheet recommends a tar finish.

Ensure any available existing/established drains or weep holes are retained and running clear - see photo 1-A_1

Ground Survey Record

Site:

Date:

Sheet 7 of
Weather:

Proposed Path Type:
Section: 1-2 spur
Path Rating:

Appendix 1

Distance	Current Condition	Recommended Action	D	Priority	Finished Condition	Notes
47.1						remove barrier at bottom of steps
29.1						Confirm cobbles forming drainage gully (photo 1-2_2) are to be retained, recorded, lifted and reset into new path. The proposed change in the level of the path would affect operation of the gully and its outlet through the wall. If "raising to cover culvert" how is water to drain from path?
25.7						Confirm path finish - cost table suggest compacted hardcore as elsewhere
15.1						Raise level to cover stones. Retaining stones and filling-over with hardcore would cause path level to raise, causing base courses of boundary wall to be obscured and height of boundary wall to become lower (relative to the new path) - we would not be content with the raised level and unsafe boundary height created, which currently serves to safeguard against the 2+ meter drop into the adjacent field (see photo 1-2_1).
0.3+0.8						type 1 Whin or tar if pass
						Confirm that existing levels are to remain and no additional build-up that would a) obscure lower courses of boundary wall and b) would cause height of wall to be lower relative to the height of the path.







Lower wall height if
ground level rises.

80mm cover to existing stones -
results in higher path level, lower
wall height and base course
stones being submerged



Existing outlet to remain? - will be buried if path level rises. Confirm how path with then be drained.

Cobble gully to be retained?



