



Protected Places and Species Assessment

Case ID: 201602120

08 August 2016

Cullicudden, church and burial ground

Pt A Protected Places

1 Site of special scientific interest

Are the works located within the boundary of a SSSI? No

Note: If 'Yes', SNH must be consulted prior to issuing consent.

2 Natural Sites

Are the proposed works directly connected with or necessary for site management for nature conservation?	No If No, go to next Q
Is the proposal likely to have a significant effect on an SPA or SAC? Give details of your reasoning below, identifying any SPA or SACs:	No
If an 'Appropriate Assessment' is required, please enter details below (after consultation with SNH)	
Will the proposal adversely affect the integrity of the site? Note: If 'yes' or 'not sure' the application should be refused	
No	

Pt B Protected Species

1 Plants

Is there any evidence to suggest the following EPS plants may be present on site?	
Fern, Killarney	No
Naiad, Slender	No
Plantain, Floating-leaved water	No
Saxifrage, Yellow Marsh	No
Is there any evidence to suggest that the following EPS animals may be present on site, or nearby?	
Bats (all varieties) (For bats only, please give details of your assessment of the likely presence of bats on the monument)	Yes
The GIS dataset indicates that bats may be present and as the monument comprises an upstanding masonry structure, bats could be roosting on it. However, no bats are indicated as being present within 2 km, and the proposed works are to the graveslabs and therefore not likely to affect them.	
Scottish Wildcat	No
Sand Lizard (only known colony is on Coll)	No
Great Crested Newt (Distribution mainly South Scotland, Central belt and Inverness Area)	No
Common Otter	Yes
Natterjack Toad	No

(Distribution mainly south coast of Dumfriesshire)	
If 'Yes', what implications do the proposed works have on the species in question?	
The GIS dataset indicates that otters may be present. However, no otters are indicated as being present within 2 km, and the proposed works to the graveslabs are not likely to affect them.	
Can the negative impacts be prevented through alteration of plans or attachment of conditions? (Please give details below)	NA
If 'No', are the works likely to meet the first two tests prescribed in regulation 44: 1. public health and safety or public interest 2. no satisfactory alternative Please give details below	NA
Note: If 'No' then the application should be refused on the grounds of the impact on EPS.	
If 'Yes', do SNH consider that the proposed works will be detrimental to the maintenance of the population of the EPS concerned at a favourable conservation status in their natural range?	NA
Note: If 'Yes' then the application should be refused on the grounds of the impact on EPS.	

Nicola Hall

Useful Links:

[Guidance](#)

[ARCGIS Project](#)