

## **Protected Places and Species Assessment**

Case ID: 201601908

02 September 2016

Union Canal, Fountainbridge to River Almond

Pt A Protected Places	
1 Site of special scientific interest	
Are the works located within the boundary of a SSSI?	No
Note: If 'Yes', SNH must be consulted prior to issuing cor	nsent.
2 Natural Sites	
Are the proposed works directly connected with or	No
necessary for site management for nature conservation?	If No, go to next Q
Is the proposal likely to have a significant affect on an SPA	No
or SAC? Give details of your reasoning below, identifying	
any SPA or SACs:	
If an 'Appropriate Assessment' is required, please enter	er details below (after
consultation with SNH)	
Will the proposal adversely affect the integrity of the site?	No
Note: If 'yes' or 'not sure' the application should be	
refused	
Pt B Protected Species	
1 Plants	
Is there any evidence to suggest the following EPS plants ma	y be present on site?
Fern, Killarney	No
Naiad, Slender	No
Plantain, Floating-leaved water	No
Saxifrage, Yellow Marsh	L K I .
Le there any evidence to suggest that the following EDS onin	No
Is there any evidence to suggest that the following EPS anin	L
site, or nearby?	nals may be present on
site, or nearby? Bats (all varieties)	L
site, or nearby?  Bats (all varieties)  (For bats only, please give details of your assessment of the	nals may be present on
site, or nearby? Bats (all varieties)	nals may be present on
site, or nearby?  Bats (all varieties)  (For bats only, please give details of your assessment of the	nals may be present on
site, or nearby?  Bats (all varieties)  (For bats only, please give details of your assessment of the	nals may be present on

Sand Lizard	No	
(only known colony is on Coll)		
Great Crested Newt	No	
(Distribution mainly South Scotland, Central belt and		
Inverness Area)		
Common Otter	No	
Natterjack Toad	No	
(Distribution mainly south coast of Dumfriesshire)		
If 'Yes', what implications do the proposed works have on the	species in question?	
Can the negative impacts be prevented through alteration of	N/A	
plans or attachment of conditions? (Please give details		
below)		
If 'No', are the works likely to meet the first two tests	N/A	
prescribed in regulation 44: 1. public health and safety or		
public interest 2. no satisfactory alternative		
Please give details below		
Note: If 'No' then the application should be refused on the grounds of the impact on EPS.		
If 'Yes', do SNH consider that the proposed works will be	N/A	
detrimental to the maintenance of the population of the EPS		
concerned at a favourable conservation status in their		
natural range?		
	1	
Note: If 'Yes' then the application should be refused on the grounds of the		
impact on EPS.		

Useful Links:	
Guidance	
ARCGIS Project	

**Hazel Johnson**